

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
for CDRU # 443 Case File # 95-283335.

Material Examiner Malone (RO).

Remarks:

---

---

---

## INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: STEVE ROBERTSON

Area(s) of Expertise: HAIR AND FIBERS

Review commenced at: 8:45A (Time), 5/20/99 (Date)

File #: 95-283335

Laboratory #(s): 80520014  
80705066

### Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>XE</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: MICHAEL MALONE

Testimony Date(s): 9-28-88 Pages: 80-98

### Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 80520014 Date: 7-20-88

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ AND UNKNOWN TECHNICIAN

Laboratory Number: 80520014

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Page 1 of 4

Initials: SR

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: LETTER FROM SUBMITTING AGENCY

DATED 5-12-88

### Results of Review

File #: 95-28335

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q15, K1-K4

### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

### Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☐ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 4

Initials SW

95-283335

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.

Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

#1. THERE IS INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION TO DETERMINE THAT  
THE HAIR COMPARISON WAS PERFORMED IN A SCIENTIFICALLY  
ACCEPTABLE MANNER. CONFIRMATION OF THE HAIR MATCH BY  
ANOTHER EXAMINER IS DOCUMENTED.

#2. THE BENCH NOTES DO NOT ADEQUATELY DOCUMENT THE RESULTS  
IN THE LABORATORY REPORT. NONE OF THE NOTES, EXCEPT FOR THE  
CONFIRMATION, ARE DATED. NOTES DO NOT REFLECT ANY HAIR BEING  
RECOVERED FROM Q10, THE LENGTH OF THE Q10 HAIR OR THE  
NUMBER OF HAIR AVAILABLE AND EXAMINED IN THE K SAMPLES. THE  
EXAMINER USES ABBREVIATIONS TO DESCRIBE THE MICROSCOPIC  
CHARACTERISTICS HE OBSERVED AND THESE ARE DIFFICULT TO  
INTERPRET DURING REVIEW BY ANOTHER EXAMINER.

Review completed at: 9:30A (Time), 5/20/99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 3/4 HOUR

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 4 pages.

Steve Roberts 5-20-99  
(Signature) (Date)

Page 3 of 4

Initials SR

Additional Comments  
(Set forth by question #, if applicable)

File #: 95-283335

#3,4,5. THE TRANSCRIPT IS MISSING 8 OF 18 PAGES. A  
REVIEW OF THE TESTIMONY COULD NOT BE DONE FROM  
A PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT.

Page 4 of 4

Initials: SM